| Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.                                   |                |                             |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)   |                |                             |
| 69-12 Austin Street  |                |                             |
| Forest Hills, NY 11375   |                |                             |
| Гelephone: 718-263-9591  |                |                             |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU   | RT             |                             |
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW Y   | ORK            |                             |
|  | X              |                             |
| ADAN PAULINO FLORES and EDU individually and on behalf of all others | JARDO PAULINO, |                             |
|  | Plaintiffs,    | COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT |
| -against- 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET             |                | JURY TRIAL                  |
|  |                | DEMANDED                    |
|  | Defendant.     |                             |
|  | $\mathbf{v}$   |                             |

1. Plaintiffs, ADAN PAULINO FLORES and EDUARDO PAULINO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 2. Plaintiffs, ADAN PAULINO FLORES and EDUARDO PAULINO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET, located at 338 8th Avenue, New York, New York 10001.
- 3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiffs also seek interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Venue is proper in the SOUTHERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

#### THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES residing at 32-19 96<sup>th</sup> Street, East Elmhurst, New York 11369, was employed by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016.
- 9. Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO residing at 32-19 96<sup>th</sup> Street, East Elmhurst, New York 11369, was employed by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around September 2013 until in or around December 2013 and from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET, is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office 1231 59th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11219.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET, is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.

- 12. During all relevant times herein, Defendant 338 8<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 13. On information and belief, 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES was employed by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016.
- 15. During Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES'S employment by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a cook, cleaner and food preparer and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016.
- 16. Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES was paid by Defendants approximately \$680.00 per week from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016.
- 17. Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES worked approximately 58 (fifty-eight) hours or more per week at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016.
- 18. Although Plaintiff ADAN PAULINO FLORES worked approximately 58 (fifty-eight) hours or more per week from in or around January 2014 until in or around March 2016, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 19. Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO was employed by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around September 2013 until in

- or around December 2013 and from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016.
- 20. During Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO'S employment by Defendants at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET, Plaintiff's primary duties were as a cook, cleaner, and food preparer and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around September 2013 until in or around December 2013 and from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016.
- 21. Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO was paid by Defendants approximately \$620.00 per week from in or around September 2013 until in or around December 2013 and approximately \$650.00 per week from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016.
- 22. Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO worked approximately 60 (sixty) hours or more per week at 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET from in or around September 2013 until in or around December 2013 and from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016.
- 23. Although Plaintiff EDUARDO PAULINO worked approximately 60 (sixty) hours or more per week from in or around September 2013 until in or around December 2013 and from in or around January 2015 until in or around September 2016, during his employment with Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 26. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

# COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
- 28. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as cooks, cleaners or food preparers or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum and overtime wage compensation.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed between 10 to 15 employees within the past three years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiffs and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
- 31. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant had knowledge that Plaintiffs and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.
- 33. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
- 34. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 35. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 36. The claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the putative class.

- 37. Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 38. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 39. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 40. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 41. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 42. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 43. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 44. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiffs.
- 45. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 46. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 47. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 48. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 49. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 50. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 51. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 52. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 53. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 54. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)

55. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid minimum wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

# DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This 2 day of January 2018.

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508)

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street

Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

Fax: 718-263-9598

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ADAN PAULINO FLORES and EDUARDO PAULINO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET,

Defendant.

# **SUMMONS & COMPLAINT**

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Phone (718) 263-9591 Fax (718) 263-9598

TO: 338 8TH AVENUE CORP. d/b/a CITY GOURMET MARKET 338 8<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001